United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/629,440	07/28/2003	David J. Laws	T8889.CIP	5739	
20551 7:	7590 01/06/2006		EXAMINER		
	RTH & WESTERN, 700 EAST, SUITE 200	CHEN, JOSE V			
SANDY, UT			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3637		

DATE MAILED: 01/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No.	i	Applicant(s)			
			10/629,440		LAWS ET AL.			
	Office Action S	ummary	Examiner		Art Unit			
		,	José V. Chen	:	3637			
		this communication app	ears on the cover sheet	with the c	orrespondence addres	5S		
Period fo	• •							
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	CHEVER IS LONGER, Insigns of time may be available us SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing period for reply is specified above to reply within the set or extending the set of the set of extending the set of the set	e, the maximum statutory period w led period for reply will, by statute, han three months after the mailing	TE OF THIS COMMU 6(a). In no event, however, may ill apply and will expire SIX (6) No cause the application to become	NICATION a reply be tim ONTHS from ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this commu D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status			•	·				
1)🖾	Responsive to commu	nication(s) filed on <u>20 Oc</u>	ctober 2005.					
• —	This action is FINAL.					•		
3)	Since this application is	s in condition for allowan	ce except for formal m	atters, pro	secution as to the me	erits is		
, —		: vith the practice under <i>E.</i>						
Di14	an af Olaima							
Dispositi	on of Claims	į	•	:				
•	· · —	nding in the application.						
	•	s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.					
•	Claim(s) is/are	•	•					
	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are re	•	:					
•	Claim(s) is/are			:				
8)	Claim(s) are sul	pject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers		: :	•				
Application Papers		eted to by the Evenine						
9) The specification is objection.				: to by the F	Evaminer			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	•	eet(s) including the correcti	=			i 121(d)		
11)	-	is objected to by the Ex						
''/	The battle declaration		·					
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	:	1	÷				
12)	Acknowledgment is ma	de of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C	c. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).			
a)	☐ All b) ☐ Some * c)	□ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
	application from	the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* 5	See the attached detaile	d Office action for a list of	of the certified copies r	ot receive	ed.			
				•				
		İ						
AMaabaas	4/5)	:				•		
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-	8921	A) T Intervie	w Summary	(PTO-413)			
	e of Draftsperson's Patent D			No(s)/Mail Da				
3) 🔯 Infor		(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice 6) Other:		atent Application (PTO-15	2)		

Application/Control Number: 10/629,440

Art Unit: 3637

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of figs. 12-15, claims 1-22 in the reply filed on 10/20/05 is acknowledged.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-22 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over the claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,598,544.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both sets of claims teach a locking mechanism for a support leg

Art Unit: 3637

hingedly attached to a support surface including a base, coupler, engagement mechanism.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents to Baum, Gonzalez teach structure similar to applicant's.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to José V. Chen whose telephone number is (571)272-6865. The examiner can normally be reached on m-f,m-th 5:30am-3:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on (571)272-6867. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

. Chen Primary Examiner Art Unit 3637

Chen/jvc

Application/Control Number: 10/629,440

Art Unit: 3637

01-04-06

Page 4